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The bimolecular nucleophilic substitution ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ ) reaction at saturated carbon such as hydrolysis of methyl halides is one of the most important and the most popular reactions in organic chemistry. ${ }^{1,2}$ The mechanism of the reaction invoking inversion of configuration of the central carbon is one of the fundamental ideas of organic reactions and is described commonly in textbooks for undergraduate students. ${ }^{3}$ The structure of the transition state (TS) of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ should be trigonal bipyramid (TBP) around the central carbon. ${ }^{4}$ Hence the bonding about the carbon involves, at least formally, expansion of the valence shell and is called hypervalent. ${ }^{5}$ Due to the fundamental importance of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$, there have been a variety of efforts to stabilize the TS and even to prepare model compounds of TS; typical examples are by Hojo ${ }^{6}$ and Martin. ${ }^{7-10}$ They claimed that they observed symmetrical TBP structure for the model compounds in solution. However, the X-ray structure of dimethyl-1-fluorenylcarbenium hexachloroantimonate bearing two methylthio groups at the 9 -position ${ }^{6}$ revealed that the two $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}^{+}$distances were different and the compound should be regarded as a sulfonium structure, ${ }^{6}$ and X-ray analysis of the 9 -anthracenylmethyl dication bearing phenylthio groups at 1 and 8 positions has not been reported. ${ }^{7-10}$ Theoretical calculations on $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ are also numerous and they conclude that hypervalent 10-C-5 species should be TBP and energy maximum. ${ }^{11}$ On the other hand, recently reported exotic highly coordinate carbon species such as $\mathrm{CH}_{5}{ }^{+12,13}$ and $\mathrm{CLi}_{5},{ }^{14} \mathrm{CLi}_{6},{ }^{15}$ and $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAu}\right)_{5} \mathrm{C}^{+16}$ are electron deficient carbocations and/or are stabilized by metalmetal cage interactions. Therefore, these compounds cannot be regarded as hypervalent $10-\mathrm{C}-5$ species for models of the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ transition state. Here we report the synthesis and crystal structure

[^0]of 1,8-dimethoxy-9-dimethoxymethylanthracene monocation (1) as the first fully characterized hypervalent 10-C-5 compound.

Preparation of $\mathbf{1}$ is illustrated in Scheme 1. Commercially available 1,8-dihydroxyanthraquinone (2) was converted to 5 via methylation ${ }^{17}$ followed by reduction ${ }^{18}$ and trifluoromethanesulfonation. Carbon monoxide insertion ${ }^{19}$ to 5 in methanol mediated by $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ gave 6 in $52 \%$ yield. 6 was treated with trimethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}\right)$under $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ reflux for 20 h , and after filtration of excess $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and removal of the solvent, $\mathbf{1}$ was obtained as a yellow-green solid. $\mathbf{1}$ is thermally stable but is sensitive to atmospheric moisture. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shift of the central carbon is found at $\delta 192.58$ ppm, which is confirmed by independent preparation of $\mathbf{1}$ using carbon monoxide ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} 99 \%$ ) in the process to convert 5 to 6 .

Crystals of 1 suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by careful recrystallization from dry $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, and the X-ray structure is shown in Figure $1 .{ }^{20}$ The counteranion is $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{7}{ }^{-}$unexpectedly but it is well separated from the cationic part. The structure clearly shows the symmetrical nature of the compound. The sum of the angles ( $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 19-\mathrm{O} 3, \mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 19-\mathrm{O} 4$, and $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 19-\mathrm{O} 4$ ) around the central carbon is $360.0^{\circ}$, indicating that the carbon is planar with $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridization. The angles around the oxygen atoms of the methoxy groups are $119.2(9)^{\circ}(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 15)$ and $120.3(9)^{\circ}$ (C8-O2-C16), showing that both oxygen atoms have $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridization. Since the carbon atoms of the methoxy groups at 1,8 -positions are in the plane of the anthracene, one of the lone pairs of each oxygen atom should be directed toward the empty p-orbital of the central carbocation at the 9 position. Therefore, geometry around the central carbon atom is TBP, which is only slightly distorted. The two $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}$ distances are almost identical (2.43(1) and 2.45(1) $\AA$ ), which is significantly longer than that of a covalent $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond $(1.43 \AA)^{21}$ but shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radius ( $3.25 \AA$ ). ${ }^{21}$

To elucidate the property and the degree of interaction between the central carbon atom and the two oxygen atoms in 1, several compounds were synthesized and were structurally characterized for comparison. ${ }^{22}$ The side views of crystal structures of 1,8,9-tribromo- (7), 1,8-dimethoxy-9-trifluoromethanesulfonyloxy- (5), and 1,8 -dimethoxy-9-cyanoanthracene ( $\mathbf{8}$ ) are shown in Figure 2 together with 1. In the structure of tribromo derivative 7, the three
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## Scheme 1




Figure 1. Crystal structure ( $30 \%$ thermal ellipsoids) of $\mathbf{1}$.



Figure 2. Crystal structures ( $30 \%$ thermal ellipsoids) of 5, 7, 8, and 1.
bromine atoms are placed out of plane of the anthracene skeleton to avoid large steric repulsion. Although the distortion from the plane of the skeleton is not at all significant, the three oxygen atoms in $\mathbf{5}$ are not placed in the plane because of repulsion. In the case of $\mathbf{8}$ and the cation $\mathbf{1}$, the atoms attached to the $1,8,9$ positions are almost in the plane of anthracene, indicating the interaction between the central carbon atom and the two oxygen atoms is not repulsive but attractive. The comparison of the distances between the atom at the 9 -position of the anthracene and the atoms at the 1,8 -positions ( $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ ) and the distances between the ipso carbons ( $b$ and $b^{\prime}$ ) is also worthy of note (Table 1). In the cases of $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ the averaged distances of $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ (3.2698(6) $\AA$ in 7, 2.572(2) $\AA$ in 5) are longer than those of $b$ and $b^{\prime}(2.566(6) \AA$ in $7,2.550(3) \AA$ in $\mathbf{5}$ ), while in $\mathbf{8}$, the averaged distance of $a$ and $a^{\prime}(2.531(3) \AA)$ is comparable with that of $b$ and $b^{\prime}(2.540(5) \AA)$. In contrast, in $\mathbf{1}$ the averaged distance of $a$ and $a^{\prime}(2.44(1) \AA)$ is clearly shorter than that of $b$ and $b^{\prime}$ (2.51(2) $\AA$ ). Therefore, it can be concluded that the interaction between the central carbon atom and the two oxygen atoms in $\mathbf{1}$ should be clearly attractive.

Table 1. Comparison of the Distances between the Atoms at the $1,8,9$-Positions ( $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ ) with Those between the Ipso Carbons ( $b$ and $b^{\prime}$ ) of Anthracene Derivatives


| compd | $a$ | $a^{\prime}$ | $b$ | $b^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Br}$ | $3.2658(6)$ | $3.2738(6)$ | $2.564(6)$ | $2.567(6)$ |
| 5: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OTf}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{OMe}$ | $2.572(2)$ | $2.571(2)$ | $2.554(3)$ | $2.545(3)$ |
| 8: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{OMe}$ | $2.530(3)$ | $2.531(3)$ | $2.538(6)$ | $2.542(4)$ |
| 1: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{C}^{+}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}$, | $2.45(1)$ | $2.43(1)$ | $2.49(2)$ | $2.52(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{OMe}$ |  |  |  |  |

The geometry of $\mathbf{1}$ was fully optimized by hybrid nonlocal density functional theory (DFT) at the B3LYP/6-31G* level using the Gaussian 94 program. ${ }^{23}$ The calculation indicates that the symmetrical $C_{s}$ structure of $\mathbf{1}$ is the energy minimum. The two C- -O distances are identical ( $2.480 \AA$ ), and are slightly longer than the experimental distances (2.44(1) $\AA)$. It should be noted that the bond paths are found between the central carbon atom and the two oxygen atoms, clearly showing that these atoms are bonded. ${ }^{24}$ The bond is weak and ionic based on the small value of the electron density $(\rho(r))\left(0.022 \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{ao}^{3}\right)$ and the small positive Laplacian value $\left(\nabla^{2} \rho(r)\right)\left(0.078\right.$ e/ao $\left.{ }^{5}\right)$ at the bond critical points. ${ }^{24}$ These values are consistent with those of axial $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds in $\mathrm{CH}_{5}{ }^{-}\left(\rho(r): 0.067 \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{ao}^{3}, \nabla^{2} \rho(r): 0.009 \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{ao}^{5}\right) .{ }^{25} \mathrm{~A}$ large value (0.233) of the ellipticity of the O--C bond reflects the electron donation to the central carbocation from the two lone pairs of the oxygen atoms of the methoxy groups at 1,8 -positions within the anthracene plane. In conclusion, the anthracene carbocation $\mathbf{1}$ is the first fully characterized pentacoordinate 10-C-5 compound for a model of the transition state of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$.
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